

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado
11 corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a
12 Delaware corporation; and ORACLE
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a
California corporation,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 RIMINI STREET, INC., et al.,

16 Defendants.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CASE NO. 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
RIMINI STREET, INC.'S MOTION
TO SEAL PORTIONS OF RIMINI
STREET, INC.'S REPLY IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION TO
EXCLUDE DECLARATION AND
OPINIONS OF ORACLE'S EXPERT,
BARBARA FREDERIKSEN-CROSS**

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pending before this Court is Defendant Rimini Street, Inc.’s (“Rimini”) Motion to Seal Portions of Rimini Street, Inc.’s Reply in Support of Motion to Exclude Declaration and Opinions of Oracle’s Expert, Barbara Frederiksen-Cross (“Motion to Seal”). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the protection of “a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered Rimini’s Motion to Seal and good cause existing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Rimini’s Motion to Seal is GRANTED. The Clerk of the Court shall file under seal portions of Rimini Street, Inc.’s Reply in Support of Motion to Exclude Declaration and Opinions of Oracle’s Expert, Barbara Frederiksen-Cross.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

Hon. Larry R. Hicks
United States District Judge